

To the Honorable Commissioners of the FCC,
I am writing to you today to comment on Docket No. 02-277, The Biennial Review of the FCC's broadcast media ownership rules. I am voicing my support to retain all the FCC rules in question. These rules set limits on concentration of the broadcast industry and serve the public interest by preserving diversity of ownership in the broadcast marketplace. These rules are; The Television-Radio Cross-Ownership Rule, The Broadcast-Newspaper Cross Ownership Ban, The National Television Ownership Rule, The Duopoly Rule for Radio, The Local Television Ownership Rule, and The Dual Network Rule.

The great privilege to carry on informed debate and discussion of current events, both locally and globally, is part of the founding philosophy of this country. If the avenues for sharing information are restricted to very few, then I fear that the quality of the information presented will decay. What is the value of varied information sources when they are controlled by a single voice?

If the channels to a potential audience -- be they cable programming channels, local television stations, or even newspapers that promote and review independent works --- fall into the hands of even fewer corporate owners, sharing the work of any independent artist will become much harder.

In such an environment, the commercial interest inevitably compromises the public interest. The FCC has rules limiting ownership to preserve the ecology of a healthy marketplace of ideas. If the FCC undermines this ecology by removing the rules, it undermines the future health of the marketplace of information.

Congress and the Supreme Court have long recognized that a functioning democracy depends on a media open to independent and unconventional news and a varied entertainment media. Media makers working outside the corporate environment continually provide content that is so defined. This unconventionality and variety that stimulates the American people both causes us to constantly question the status quo, and facilitates the ability of Americans to speak with one another. If we exist in an environment in which our news outlets have merged together, both print and broadcast, our ability to open informed discussion is restricted.

I urge you to rule in the public interest on this matter. The public interest will be served by preserving the FCC's Broadcast Media ownership rules.

Thank you,

Joe Forgy
4616 NW 36th St.
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73122-2427
405-917-5755
joeyxy@aol.com

--part1_14f.1826ddea.2b1d7379_boundary
Content-Type: text/html; charset="ISO-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

=3D"Arial" LANG=3D"0">ECFS - E-mail Filing

<PROCEEDING> 02-277
<DATE> 12/02/02
<NAME> Joe Forgy
<ADDRESS1> 4616 NW 36th St.
<ADDRESS2>
<CITY> Oklahoma City
<STATE> Oklahoma
<ZIP> 73122-2427
<LAW-FIRM>
<ATTORNEY>
<FILE-NUMBER>
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> CO
<PHONE-NUMBER> 405-917-5755
<DESCRIPTION>
<CONTACT-EMAIL> joeyxy@aol.com
<TEXT> To the Honorable Commissioners of the FCC,

I am writing to you today to comment on Docket No. 02-277, The Biennial Review of the FCC's broadcast media ownership rules. I am voicing my support to retain all the FCC rules in question. These rules set limits on concentration of the broadcast industry and serve the public interest by preserving diversity of ownership in the broadcast marketplace. These rules are; The Television-Radio Cross-Ownership Rule, The Broadcast-Newspaper Cross Ownership Ban, The National Television Ownership Rule, The Duopoly Rule for Radio, The Local Television Ownership Rule, and The Dual Network Rule.

The great privilege to carry on informed debate and discussion of

current events, both locally and globally, is part of the founding philosophy of this country. If the avenues for sharing information are restricted to very=A0 few, then I fear that the quality of the information
presented will decay. What is the value of varied information sources when they are controlled by a single voice?

If the channels to a potential audience -- be they cable programming channels, local television stations, or even newspapers that promote and review independent works --- fall into the hands of even=A0 fewer corporate owners, sharing the work of any independent artist will become much harder.

In such an environment, the commercial interest inevitably compromises the public interest. The FCC has rules limiting ownership to preserve the ecology of a healthy marketplace of ideas. If the FCC undermines this ecology by removing the rules, it undermines the future health of the marketplace of information.

Congress and the Supreme Court have long recognized that a=A0 functioning
democracy depends on a media open to independent and=A0 unconventional news and a varied entertainment media. Media makers working outside the corporate environment continually provide content that is so defined. This unconventionality and variety that stimulates the American people both causes us to constantly question the status quo, and facilitates the ability of Americans to speak with one another. If we=A0 exist in an environment in which our news outlets have merged together, both print and broadcast, our ability to open informed discussion is restricted.

I urge you to rule in the public interest on this matter. The public

interest will be served by preserving the FCC's Broadcast Media ownership rules.

Thank you,

Joe Forgy

4616 NW 36th St.

Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73122-2427

405-917-5755

joeyxy@aol.com

--part1_3e.286a2713.2b1d801e_boundary
Content-Type: text/html; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

<HTML>ECFS - E-mail Filing

<PROCEEDING> 02-277

<DATE> 12/02/02

<NAME> Joe Forgy

<ADDRESS1> 4616 NW 36th St.

<ADDRESS2>

<CITY> Oklahoma City

<STATE> OK

<ZIP> 73122-2427

<LAW-FIRM>

<ATTORNEY>

<FILE-NUMBER>

<DOCUMENT-TYPE> CO

<PHONE-NUMBER> 405-917-5755

<DESCRIPTION>

<CONTACT-EMAIL> joeyxy@aol.com

<TEXT> To the Honorable Commissioners of the FCC,

I am writing to you today to comment on Docket No. 02-277, The Biennial

Review of the FCC's broadcast media ownership rules. I am voicing my

support to retain all the FCC rules in question. These rules set limits

on concentration of the broadcast industry and serve the public interest

by preserving diversity of ownership in the broadcast marketplace. These

rules are; The Television-Radio Cross-Ownership Rule, The

Broadcast-Newspaper Cross Ownership Ban, The National Television

Ownership Rule, The Duopoly Rule for Radio, The Local Television

Ownership Rule, and The Dual Network Rule.

The great privilege to carry on informed debate and discussion of

current events, both locally and globally, is part of the founding

philosophy of this country. If the avenues for sharing information are
restricted to very=A0 few, then I fear that the quality of the information
presented will decay. What is the value of varied information sources
when they are controlled by a single voice?

If the channels to a potential audience -- be they cable programming
channels, local television stations, or even newspapers that promote and
review independent works --- fall into the hands of even=A0 fewer
corporate owners, sharing the work of any independent artist will become
much harder.

In such an environment, the commercial interest inevitably compromises
the public interest. The FCC has rules limiting ownership to preserve
the ecology of a healthy marketplace of ideas. If the FCC undermines
this ecology by removing the rules, it undermines the future health of
the marketplace of information.

Congress and the Supreme Court have long recognized that a=A0 functioning
democracy depends on a media open to independent and=A0 unconventional
news and a varied entertainment media. Media makers working outside the
corporate environment continually provide content that is so defined.
This unconventionality and variety that stimulates the American people
both causes us to constantly question the status quo, and facilitates
the ability of Americans to speak with one another. If we=A0 exist in an
environment in which our news outlets have merged together, both print
and broadcast, our ability to open informed discussion is restricted.

I urge you to rule in the public interest on this matter. The public
interest will be served by preserving the FCC's Broadcast Media
ownership rules.

Thank you,

Joe Forgy

4616 NW 36th St.

Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73122-2427

405-917-5755

joeyxy@aol.com

--part1_14f.1826ddea.2b1d7379_boundary

Content-Type: text/html; charset="ISO-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

=3D"Arial" LANG=3D"0">ECFS - E-mail Filing

<PROCEEDING> 02-277

<DATE> 12/02/02

<NAME> Joe Forgy

<ADDRESS1> 4616 NW 36th St.

<ADDRESS2>

<CITY> Oklahoma City

<STATE>Oklahoma

<ZIP>73122-2427

<LAW-FIRM>

<ATTORNEY>

<FILE-NUMBER>

<DOCUMENT-TYPE> CO

<PHONE-NUMBER>405-917-5755

<DESCRIPTION>

<CONTACT-EMAIL>joexy@aol.com

<TEXT> To the Honorable Commissioners of the FCC,

I am writing to you today to comment on Docket No. 02-277, The Biennial

Review of the FCC's broadcast media ownership rules. I am voicing my

support to retain all the FCC rules in question. These rules set limits

on concentration of the broadcast industry and serve the public interest

by preserving diversity of ownership in the broadcast marketplace. These

rules are; The Television-Radio Cross-Ownership Rule, The

Broadcast-Newspaper Cross Ownership Ban, The National Television

Ownership Rule, The Duopoly Rule for Radio, The Local Television

Ownership Rule, and The Dual Network Rule.

The great privilege to carry on informed debate and discussion of

current events, both locally and globally, is part of the founding

philosophy of this country. If the avenues for sharing information are

restricted to very few, then I fear that the quality of the
information

>

presented will decay. What is the value of varied information sources

when they are controlled by a single voice?

If the channels to a potential audience -- be they cable programming

channels, local television stations, or even newspapers that promote and

review independent works --- fall into the hands of even=A0 fewer

corporate owners, sharing the work of any independent artist will become

much harder.

In such an environment, the commercial interest inevitably compromises

the public interest. The FCC has rules limiting ownership to preserve

the ecology of a healthy marketplace of ideas. If the FCC undermines

this ecology by removing the rules, it undermines the future health of

the marketplace of information.

Congress and the Supreme Court have long recognized that a=A0
functioning

>

democracy depends on a media open to independent and=A0 unconventional

news and a varied entertainment media. Media makers working outside the

corporate environment continually provide content that is so defined.

This unconventionality and variety that stimulates the American people

both causes us to constantly question the status quo, and facilitates

the ability of Americans to speak with one another. If we=A0 exist in an

environment in which our news outlets have merged together, both print

and broadcast, our ability to open informed discussion is restricted.

I urge you to rule in the public interest on this matter. The public

interest will be served by preserving the FCC's Broadcast Media

ownership rules.

Thank you,

Joe Forgy

4616 NW 36th St.

Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73122-2427

405-917-5755

joeyxy@aol.com

</HTML>
--part1_3e.286a2713.2b1d801e_boundary--